Nottingham City Council Housing Services Overall Balanced Scorecard Report - January 2025

| Ref. | Performance indicator | Good Performance Is | Resp. Person | 23/24 Outturn | Nov-24 | Dec-24 | Jan-25 | 24/25 Target | |
|-------|--|---------------------------|-----------------|------------------|------------|------------|------------|-----------------|--|
| | FINANCE | | | | | | | | |
| HIM6 | Rent collection (YTD figure) | Higher | SG (RH) | 100.16% | 100.02% | 100.87% | 100.55% | 100.0% | |
| HIM2 | Current Tenant Arrears | Lower | SG (RH) | £3,632,530 | £3,532,142 | £3,095,674 | £3,183,613 | £3,532,530 | |
| LH001 | Leasehold/Service Charge collection (rolling YTD figure) | Higher | SG (RH) | 84.36% | 56.48% | 61.65% | 66.50% | 83.00% | |
| TEM4 | Rent Loss due to Voids | Lower | SG (RH) | 1.93% | 1.86% | 1.87% | 1.89% | 1.80% | |
| HIM11 | Current tenant arrears as % annual rent roll | Lower | SG (RH) | 3.05% | 2.72% | 2.38% | 2.45% | 3.0% | |
| | PEOPLE | | | | | | | | |
| Sick | Ave sick days per employee (rolling 12 months) | Lower | ML | 14.81 | 13.90 | 13.84 | 13.62 | 10.2 | |
| | OPERATIONS & CUSTOMER EXPERIENCE | | | | | | | | |
| R1 | Repair appointments kept | Higher | AB (DS) | 94.98% | 96.26% | 97.57% | 97.23% | 97.0% | |
| R5COM | Ave days to complete repairs | Lower | AB (DS) | 28.24 | 37.78 | 38.05 | 42.19 | 28 | |

| Ref. | Performance indicator | Good Performance Is | Resp. Person | 23/24 Outturn | Nov-24 | Dec-24 | Jan-25 | 24/25 Target |
|---------|---|---------------------------|-----------------|------------------|--------|--------|--------|-----------------|
| RP02 | Repairs completed within target timescales | Higher | AB (DS) | 84.21 | 83.29% | 87.13% | 86.50% | 85.0% |
| RP02.2 | Emergency Repairs in time | Higher | AB (DS) | 92.37 | 84.01% | 84.36% | 85.15% | 100.0% |
| V3 | Ave Re-let time for all properties (GN & SLD) - Year to date | Higher | SG (RH) | 45.00 | 40.98 | 41.13 | 41.34 | 45.00 |
| NM01.1 | Anti-social behaviour cases relative to the size of the landlord (Cases per 1,000 properties) | Lower | KS | 26.3 | 31.9 | 33.0 | 34.4 | ТВА |
| | COMPLIANCE | | | | | | | |
| BS01 | % Domestic properties with valid Landlords Gas Safety Certificate (LGSR) | Higher | AB (DS) | 99.99% | 98.96% | 98.89% | 98.86% | 100.0% |
| EICR001 | Dwellings with a satisfactory EICR in last five years (with C1 & C2 completed) | Higher | AB (SE) | 99.40% | 98.32% | 99.28% | 99.28% | 100.0% |
| BS02 | % Fire Risk Assessments completed in target | Higher | AB (SE) | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% |
| FIRE006 | Overdue High Risk Fire Risk Assessment Actions | Lower | AB (SE/DS) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| C5 | Overdue Medium Risk Fire Risk Assessments Actions | Lower | AB (SE/DS) | 19 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

| Ref. | Performance indicator | Good Performance Is | Resp. Person | 23/24 Outturn | Nov-24 | Dec-24 | Jan-25 | 24/25 Target | |
|--------|---|---------------------------|-----------------|------------------|--------|--------|--------|-----------------|--|
| C6 | Overdue Low Risk Fire Risk Assessments Actions | Lower | AB (SE/DS) | 265 | 136 | 95 | 81 | 0 | |
| RP01 | % of stock that is categorised as a non- decent home | Lower | AB (SE) | 0.50% | 3.81% | 4.07% | 2.10% | 0.00% | |
| | TENANT INVOLVEMENT & EMPOWERMENT | | | | | | | | |
| CH02 | Complaints responded to within the timescale | Higher | PS | 84.70% | 98.48% | 94.20% | 97.65% | 100.0% | |
| CH01 | Number of Stage 1 complaints relative to the size of the landlord (Complaints per 1,000 properties) | Lower | PS | 51.5 | 52.5 | 50.9 | 48.6 | 60.0 | |
| Tenant | Data profiling on our customers is complete | Higher | PS | 99.96% | 99.97% | 99.97% | 99.97% | 98.0% | |
| | HOME STANDARD | | | | | | | | |
| BS03 | Asbestos safety checks | Higher | AB (SE) | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | |
| BS04 | Water safety checks | Higher | AB (SE) | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | |
| BS05 | Lift safety checks | Higher | AB (SE) | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | |